



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/646,949

08/21/2003

Andreas C. Pfahnl

077311-0117

2973

65902 7590 04/28/2008

TERADYNE, INC.
c/o FOLEY & LARDER, LLP
111 HUNTINGTON AVENUE
26TH FLOOR
BOSTON, MA 02199-7610

EXAMINER

FORD, JOHN K

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3744

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/28/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

Address : COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10646949	August 21,2003	PFAHNL ET AL.	077311-0117

TERADYNE, INC.
c/o FOLEY & LARDER, LLP
111 HUNTINGTON AVENUE
26TH FLOOR
BOSTON, MA 02199-7610

EXAMINER

John K.. Ford

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

3744	20080425
------	----------

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The reply filed on 03/28/2008 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): see below. See 37 CFR 1.111. Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be bona fide, applicant is given ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Applicant's response of 3/28/2008 has been carefully considered. Applicant has elected Group I, apparatus claims 1-4, 7-22 and 25, without traverse, and has affirmed that all of the elected claims are directed to combination of a testhead and the cooling system and not the cooling system per se. That portion of the 3/28/2008 response is deemed fully responsive to the corresponding portion of the previous office action. However the sketch of the prior art testhead supplied by applicant does not provide "the details of the prior art cooling system used to cool this prior art 'six-degrees of freedom' testhead" (previous office action, sentence spanning pages 2-3) or give any indication of whether or not this prior art testhead is used "in an inverted position as claimed in new claim 23" (previous office action page 2, bottom). Since applicant's response fails to address these two latter matters it is deemed non-responsive and a new response fully addressing both of these omitted matters is required.

/John K. Ford/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3744